# **Strength of Polynomials**

#### **Arthur Bik**

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in den Naturwissenschaften

## **TAPIRS** Seminar

29 October 2021



MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT

Let f be a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d \geq 2$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ .

#### Definition

The *strength* of f is the minimal number  $str(f) := r \ge 0$  such that

$$f = g_1 \cdot h_1 + \ldots + g_r \cdot h_r$$

with  $g_1, h_1, \ldots, g_r, h_r$  homogeneous polynomials of degree  $\leq d-1$ .

#### Question

What is the strength of  $f := x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ ?

- We have  $\operatorname{str}(f) \leq 3$  since  $f = x \cdot x + y \cdot y + z \cdot z$ .
- We have str(f) > 0 since  $f \neq 0$ .
- We have  $\operatorname{str}(f) > 1$  since f is not reducible.
- We have  $\operatorname{str}(f) \leq 2$  since  $f = (x + iy) \cdot (x iy) + z \cdot z$ .

So str(f) = 2 (but over  $\mathbb{R}$  is would be 3).

## Reason 1 - Data efficiency

A homogeneous polynomial of degree d in n+1 variables has

$$\binom{n+d}{d}$$

coefficients.

A polynomial of degree 3 in  $10^6$  variables has  $\approx 10^{17}$ 

coefficients.

The number of coefficients in a strength decomposition is:  $\approx \mathrm{str}(f) \cdot 10^{12}$ 

So the strength decomposition uses  $\approx 10^5/\,{\rm str}(f)$  times less space.



## Reason 2 - Universality

Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]_d$ . For  $\star,\ldots,\star\in\mathbb{C}$ , the polynomial

 $f(\star y_1 + \ldots + \star y_m, \ldots, \star y_1 + \ldots + \star y_m) \in \mathbb{C}[y_1, \ldots, y_m]_d$ is a coordinate transformation of f.

Let  ${\mathcal P}$  be a property of degree-d polynomials such that

 $f \text{ has } \mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow \text{every coordinate transformation of } f \text{ has } \mathcal{P}$  **Examples** 

- $\mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{triv}}$  : the polynomial equals itself
- $\mathcal{P}_k$  : the polynomial has strength  $\leq k$

 $\mathcal{P}_{\mbox{\scriptsize KZ},\ell}\,$  : every partial derivative of the polynomial has strength  $\leq \ell$ 

**Theorem (Kazhdan-Ziegler, B-Danelon-Draisma-Eggermont)** Either  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{triv}$  or there exists a  $k \ge 0$  such that f has  $\mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \operatorname{str}(f) \le k$ 

#### Reason 3 - It is like the rank of matrices

We have a one-to-one correspondence

$$\begin{split} \{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A = A^{\top}\} & \leftrightarrow \quad \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_2 \\ A & \mapsto \quad (x_1, \dots, x_n)A(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{\top} \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n)^{\top}(a_1, \dots, a_n) & \mapsto \quad (a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n)^2 \\ vw^{\top} + wv^{\top} & \mapsto \quad 2 \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n)v \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n)w \\ \\ \\ \text{Write } f = (x_1, \dots, x_n)A(x_1, \dots, x_n)^{\top}. \text{ Then} \\ & \text{str}(f) \leq k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f \text{ is a sum of } k \text{ reducible polynomials} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \quad A \text{ is a sum of } k \text{ matrices of rank} \leq 2 \\ & \Leftrightarrow \quad A \text{ has rank} \leq 2k \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \text{So } \text{str}(f) = [\operatorname{rk}(A)/2]. \end{split}$$

#### Example

$$\operatorname{str}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) = \lceil \operatorname{rk}(I_3)/2 \rceil = 2.$$

#### How does strength compare to rank of matrices?

We can compute the rank of a matrix. (determinants of submatrices / column- and rowoperations) **Q**: How do you compute the strength of a polynomial?

The limit of a sequence of matrices of rank  $\leq k$  has rank  $\leq k$ . **Q**: Is the subset of polynomials of strength  $\leq k$  closed?

An  $n \times m$  matrix has maximal rank  $\min(n, m)$ . Q: What is the maximal strength of a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_d$ ?

A random  $n \times m$  matrix has rank  $\min(n, m)$ . Q: What is the strength of a random polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_d$ ?

## Computing the strength of a polynomial



I don't know how to do this... **Exercise** Find an algorithm.

#### Tricks

1 We have  $\operatorname{str}(f+q) < \operatorname{str}(f) + \operatorname{str}(q)$ . 2 For  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_d$ , we define the singular locus:  $\operatorname{Sing}(f) := \left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_1} = \ldots = \frac{\partial f}{\partial r_2} = 0 \right\}$ When  $f = q_1 \cdot h_1 + \ldots + q_k \cdot h_k$ , then  $\{q_1 = h_1 = \ldots = q_k = h_k = 0\} \subset \text{Sing}(f)$ and so dim Sing(f) > n - 2 str(f). **3** Every polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_d$  is reducible. Hence

$$f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_d \Rightarrow \operatorname{str}(f) \le 1$$



#### Example

Consider  $f = x_1^d + \ldots + x_n^d$ .

We have

$$f = \begin{cases} (x_1^d + x_2^d) + \ldots + (x_{2k-1}^d + x_{2k}^d) & \text{if } n = 2k\\ (x_1^d + x_2^d) + \ldots + (x_{2k-1}^d + x_{2k}^d) + x_{2k+1}^d & \text{if } n = 2k+1 \end{cases}$$
  
and so  $\operatorname{str}(f) \le \lceil n/2 \rceil$ .

The singular locus

 $\operatorname{Sing}(f) = \{ dx_1^{d-1} = \ldots = dx_n^{d-1} = 0 \} = \{ (0, \ldots, 0) \} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ has dimenion  $0 \ge n - 2\operatorname{str}(f)$ . So  $\operatorname{str}(f) \ge \lceil n/2 \rceil$ .

So  $\operatorname{str}(f) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ .



 $\mathbf{Q}_{d,k,n}$ : Is  $\{f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d \mid \operatorname{str}(f) \leq k\}$  closed?

For k = 1, yes. (union of images of projective morphisms).

For k = 2, I don't know. (Conjecture: yes)

For d = 2, yes. (rank of symmetric matrices)

For d = 3, yes. (slice rank of polynomials)

#### Theorem (Ballico-B-Oneto-Ventura)

The set  $\{f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_4 \mid \operatorname{str}(f) \leq 3\}$  is not closed for  $n \gg 0$ .

#### Consider



#### Theorem (Ballico-B-Oneto-Ventura)

For  $n\gg 0,$  there are polynomials  $f,g,p,q\in \mathbb{C}[z_1,\ldots,z_n]_2$  such that

$$x^{2}f + y^{2}g + u^{2}p + v^{2}q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, z_{1}, \dots, z_{n}]_{4}$$

has strength 4.

Consider the polynomial

$$h:=x^2f+y^2g+u^2p+v^2q\in \mathbb{C}[x,y,u,v,f,g,p,q]_4$$

where x, y, u, v have degree 1 and  $\underbrace{f, g, p, q}_{\text{variables}}$  have degree 2.

#### Proposition

The polynomial h has strength 4.

#### Definition

The strength of a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_d$  is the minimum number  $r \geq 0$  (when this exists) such that

$$h = g_1 \cdot h_1 + \ldots + g_r \cdot h_r$$

with  $g_1, h_1, \ldots, g_r, h_r$  homogeneous polynomials of degree  $\leq d-1$ . Example

The polynomial

$$f \cdot g + x \cdot (uh + v^3)$$

is irreducible and hence has strength 2.

#### Example

When the  $g_i, h_i$  have degree 1, then

$$g_1 \cdot h_1 + \ldots + g_r \cdot h_r \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]_2$$

Hence the variable f has infinite strength.

The polynomial

$$x^{2}f + y^{2}g + u^{2}p + v^{2}q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{4}$$

has strength 4.

## 1/4 of the proof

We need to show, for example, that

$$\label{eq:started} \begin{split} x^2f + y^2g + u^2p + v^2q \neq \ell_1 \cdot h_1 + \ell_2 \cdot h_2 + \ell_3 \cdot h_3 \\ \text{for all } \ell_i \in \mathbb{C}[x,y,u,v,f,g,p,q]_1 \text{ and } h_i \in \mathbb{C}[x,y,u,v,f,g,p,q]_3 \end{split}$$

The polynomial

 $x^2f+y^2g+u^2p+v^2q\in \mathbb{C}[x,y,u,v,f,g,p,q]_4$ 

has strength 4.

## 1/4 of the proof

We need to show, for example, that

$$x^{2}f + y^{2}g + u^{2}p + v^{2}q \neq \ell_{1} \cdot h_{1} + \ell_{2} \cdot h_{2} + \ell_{3} \cdot h_{3}$$
  
for all  $\ell_{i} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]_{1}$  and  $h_{i} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{3}$ .

Think of  $R = \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]$  as the set of coefficients. So  $\ell_i \in R$  and  $h_i \in R[f, g, p, q]$ .

The coefficients of f, g, p, q on the right are all in  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)$ . The coefficients  $x^2, y^2, u^2, v^2$  on the left are not all  $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3)$ .

The polynomial

$$x^2f + y^2g + u^2p + v^2q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_4$$

has strength 4.

:

### Theorem (Ballico-B-Oneto-Ventura)

For  $n\gg 0,$  there are polynomials  $f,g,p,q\in \mathbb{C}[z_1,\ldots,z_n]_2$  such that

$$x^{2}f + y^{2}g + u^{2}p + v^{2}q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, z_{1}, \dots, z_{n}]_{4}$$

has strength 4.

How to bridge the gap?

### Definition

The polynomial functor  $S^d \colon \operatorname{Vec} \to \operatorname{Vec}$  is the functor

$$V \mapsto S^{d}(V)$$
  

$$(L: V \to W) \mapsto \left(S^{d}(L): S^{d}(V) \to S^{d}(W)\right)$$
  

$$\mathbb{C} x_{1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C} x_{n} \mapsto \mathbb{C} [x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}]_{d}$$
  

$$(x_{i} \mapsto \sum_{j} c_{ij} y_{j}) \mapsto (x_{i} \mapsto \sum_{j} c_{ij} y_{j})$$

#### Definition

A polynomial transformation

$$\alpha \colon S^{d_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S^{d_k} \to S^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S^{e_k}$$

is of the form

$$(f_1,\ldots,f_k)\mapsto (F_1(f_1,\ldots,f_k),\ldots,F_\ell(f_1,\ldots,f_k))$$

Here  $F_j \in \mathbb{C}[X_1, \ldots, X_k]_{e_j}$  are fixed forms with  $\deg(X_i) = d_i$ .

#### Example

 $(g_1,h_1,g_2,h_2,g_3,h_3)\mapsto g_1\cdot h_1+g_2\cdot h_2+g_3\cdot h_3$  defines a polynomial transformation

 $\alpha \colon (S^{d_1} \oplus S^{4-d_1}) \oplus (S^{d_2} \oplus S^{4-d_2}) \oplus (S^{d_3} \oplus S^{4-d_3}) \to S^4$ for all fixed  $1 \le d_1 \le d_2 \le d_3 \le 2$ .

#### Definition

We define the inverse limit

 $S^d_\infty := \{ \mathsf{degree-}d \text{ series in } x_1, x_2, \ldots \} \ni x^d_1 + x^d_2 + x^d_3 + \ldots$ 

### Proposition (B-Draisma-Eggermont-Snowden)

Let  $p \in S^d_{\infty}$  be a series with projections  $p_n \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_d$  and  $\alpha \colon P \to S^d$  a polynomial transformation. Then

$$p \in \operatorname{im}(\alpha_{\infty}) \Leftrightarrow p_n \in \operatorname{im}(\alpha_n)$$
 for all  $n$ 

Take  $p = x^2 f + y^2 g + u^2 p + v^2 q$  for series some  $f, g, p, q \in S^2_\infty$ .

#### Definition

Write  $D^d \subseteq S^d_{\infty}$  for the subspace of finite strength series. A system of variables consists of a basis of  $S^d_{\infty}/D^d$  for every  $d \ge 1$ .

#### Proposition (B-Draisma-Eggermont-Snowden)

Let  $\beta: S^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S^{e_k} \to S^d$  and  $\alpha: P \to S^d$  be polynomial transformations. Let  $f_1 \in S_{\infty}^{e_1}, \ldots, f_k \in S_{\infty}^{e_k}, p \in P_{\infty}$  be a series. Assume that  $\beta_{\infty}(f_1, \ldots, f_k) = \alpha_{\infty}(p)$  and that  $(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$  is part of a system of variables. Then there exists a polynomial transformation  $\gamma: S^{e_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S^{e_k} \to P$  such that  $\beta = \alpha \circ \gamma$ .

#### Example (which closes the gap)

Take

$$\beta(x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q) = x^2 f + y^2 g + u^2 p + v^2 q$$
  
$$\alpha(g_1, h_1, g_2, h_2, g_3, h_3) = g_1 \cdot h_1 + g_2 \cdot h_2 + g_3 \cdot h_3$$

The polynomial

$$x^2f+y^2g+u^2p+v^2q\in \mathbb{C}[x,y,u,v,f,g,p,q]_4$$

has strength 4.

```
Polynomial functors
```

### Theorem (Ballico-B-Oneto-Ventura)

For  $n\gg 0,$  there are polynomials  $f,g,p,q\in \mathbb{C}[z_1,\ldots,z_n]_2$  such that

$$x^{2}f + y^{2}g + u^{2}p + v^{2}q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, z_{1}, \dots, z_{n}]_{4}$$

has strength 4.

## Generic and maximal strength

- **Q**: What is the maximal strength of a polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]_d$ ?
- **Q**: What is the strength of a random polynomial in  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_d$ ?

#### Definition

The *slice rank* of f is the minimal  $slrk(f) := r \ge 0$  such that

$$f = \ell_1 \cdot h_1 + \ldots + \ell_r \cdot h_r$$

with  $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r$  and  $h_1, \ldots, h_r$  homogeneous of degrees 1 and d-1.

#### Proposition

$$1 \operatorname{str}(f) \le \operatorname{slrk}(f) \le n - 1$$

- 2  $\operatorname{slrk}(f) = \min\{\operatorname{codim}(U) \mid U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n, f|_U = 0\}$
- **3** The subset of polynomials of slice rank  $\leq k$  closed.

### Theorem (Harris)

A generic homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d \mbox{ in } n+1$  variables has slice rank

$$\min\left\{r\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq(n+1)/2}\left|r(n+1-r)\geq\binom{d+n-r}{d}\right\}\right\}.$$

### Theorem (B-Oneto)

The strength and slice rank of a homogeneous polynomial of degree d are generically equal for  $d \leq 7$  and d = 9.

#### Theorem (Ballico-B-Oneto-Ventura)

The strength and slice rank of a homogeneous polynomial of degree d are generically equal for  $d \ge 5$ .



We consider

$$\{g_1 \cdot h_1 + \ldots + g_r \cdot h_r \mid \deg(g_i) = a_i, \deg(h_i) = d - a_i\}$$
  
inside  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]_d$ .

#### Goal

Prove for fixed r that dimension is maximal when  $a_1, \ldots, a_r = 1$ .

### Terracini's Lemma

The dimension is  $\dim(g_1, h_1, \ldots, g_r, h_r)_d$  for generic generators.

#### Proposition

The dimension is at most

$$\binom{n+d}{d} - \operatorname{coeff}_d \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (1-t^{a_i})(1-t^{d-a_i})}{(1-t)^{n+1}} \right) + \binom{\ell_{d/2}}{2}$$

where  $\ell_{d/2} := \#\{i \mid a_i = d/2\}$ . Equality when  $a_1, \ldots, a_r = 1$ .

## Generic and maximal strength



For fixed 
$$d, r$$
, we want  $F(a_1, \dots, a_r) :=$   
 $\operatorname{coeff}_d \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - t^{a_i})(1 - t^{d-a_i})}{(1 - t)^{n+1}} \right) - \binom{\ell_{d/2}}{2}$ 

to be minimal when  $a_1, \ldots, a_r = 1$ .

#### Proposition

We have

$$F(a_1, \dots, a_r) - F(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_r - 1) > 0$$
 when  $a_r = \theta := \max\{a_1, \dots, a_r\} > 2.$    
**Proof**

Write 
$$c_\ell(k_1, \dots, k_n) := \operatorname{coeff}_\ell(P_{k_1} \cdots P_{k_n}) \ge 0$$
 where  
 $P_k = 1 + t + \dots + t^k$ 

for  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then the difference equals  $c_{d-\theta+1}(\infty^{n-r}, d-2\theta, a_1-1, \ldots, a_{r-1}-1) - \ell_{\theta-1} - (\ell_{\theta}-1)m$ where  $\ell_j = \#\{i \mid a_i = j\}$  and  $m = n - \ell_1$ .

## Generic and maximal strength



Write 
$$c_{\ell}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) := \operatorname{coeff}_{\ell}(P_{k_1} \cdots P_{k_n}) \ge 0$$
 where  
 $P_k = 1 + t + \ldots + t^k$   
for  $k \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\} \cup \{\infty\}.$ 

#### Proposition

We have

• 
$$c_{\ell}(k_1, \ldots, k_n) = c_{\ell}(k_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, k_{\sigma(n)})$$
 for all  $\sigma \in S_n$ 

• 
$$c_{\ell}(k_1, \ldots, k_n, 0) = c_{\ell}(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$$

• 
$$c_{\ell}(k,k_2,\ldots,k_n) \ge c_{\ell}(k',k_2,\ldots,k_n)$$
 for all  $0 \le k' \le k \le \infty$ 

• 
$$c_{\ell+1}(k_1,\ldots,k_n) \ge c_\ell(k_1,\ldots,k_n)$$
 when  $k_1 = \infty$ 

We get

$$c_{d-\theta+1}(\infty^{n-r}, d-2\theta, a_1-1, \dots, a_{r-1}-1)$$

$$\geq \operatorname{coeff}_4(P_{\infty}^{\ell_{\theta}} P_1^{m-\ell_{\theta}-1}) - (\ell_{\theta}-1)(m-1)$$

where  $\ell_j = \#\{i \mid a_i = j\}$  and  $m = n - \ell_1$ .



**Q**: How do you compute the strength of a polynomial?

**Q**: Is there an algorithm that computes best low-strength approximations of a polynomial?

 $\mathbf{Q}:$  What is the highest possible strength of a limit of strength  $\leq k$  polynomials?

Thanks for your attention!

## References



- Edoardo Ballico, Arthur Bik, Alessandro Oneto, Emanuele Ventura The set of forms with bounded strength is not closed preprint
- Edoardo Ballico, Arthur Bik, Alessandro Oneto, Emanuele Ventura Strength and slice rank of forms are generically equal Israel J. Math. (2021), to appear
- Arthur Bik, Alessandro Danelon, Jan Draisma, Rob H. Eggermont Universality of high-strength tensors Vietnam J. Math. (2021), to appear

Arthur Bik, Jan Draisma, Rob H. Eggermont Polynomials and tensors of bounded strength Commun. Contemp. Math. 21 (2019), no. 7, 1850062

## References





Arthur Bik, Jan Draisma, Rob H. Eggermont, Andrew Snowden The geometry of polynomial representations preprint

Arthur Bik, Alessandro Oneto

On the strength of general polynomials Linear Multilinear Algebra (2021), to appear

Daniel Erman, Steven V. Sam, Andrew Snowden Big polynomial rings and Stillman's conjecture Invent. math. 218 (2019), pp. 413–439

David Kazhdan, Tamar Ziegler
 Properties of high rank subvarieties of affine spaces
 Geometric and Functional Analysis 30 (2020), pp. 1063–1096