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## The strength of polynomials

Let $f$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

## Definition

The strength of $f$ is the minimal number $\operatorname{str}(f):=r \geq 0$ such that

$$
f=g_{1} \cdot h_{1}+\ldots+g_{r} \cdot h_{r}
$$

with $g_{1}, h_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}, h_{r}$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $\leq d-1$.

## First examples

(0) $\operatorname{str}(f)=0 \Leftrightarrow f=0$
(1) $\operatorname{str}(f)=1 \Leftrightarrow f \neq 0$ is reducible
(2) $\operatorname{str}(f) \geq 2 \Leftrightarrow f$ is irreducible

## Example

The polynomial

$$
x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=(x+i y) \cdot(x-i y)+z \cdot z
$$

has strength 2 .
(It would be 3 over $\mathbb{R}$.)

## Why care about strength?

## Reason 1 - Data efficiency

A homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ in $n+1$ variables has

$$
\binom{n+d}{d}
$$

coefficients.

A polynomial $f$ of degree 3 in $10^{6}$ variables has

$$
\approx 1.67 \cdot 10^{17}
$$

coefficients.

The number of coefficients in a strength decomposition is

$$
\approx \operatorname{str}(f) \cdot 5.00 \cdot 10^{11}
$$

So saving this uses $\approx 33400 / \operatorname{str}(f)$ times less space.

## Why care about strength?

## Reason 2 - Universality

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d}$ be a homogeneous polynomial.
For $c_{11}, \ldots, c_{n m} \in \mathbb{C}$, the polynomial
$f\left(c_{11} y_{1}+\ldots+c_{1 m} y_{m}, \ldots, c_{n 1} y_{1}+\ldots+c_{n m} y_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right]_{d}$ is a coordinate transformation of $f$.

## Theorem (Kazhdan-Ziegler, B-Draisma-Eggermont)

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a property of degree- $d$ polynomials such that $f$ has $\mathcal{P} \Leftrightarrow$ every coordinate transformation of $f$ has $\mathcal{P}$ and not every polynomial has $\mathcal{P}$. Then the exists a $k \geq 0$ such that

$$
f \text { has } \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \operatorname{str}(f) \leq k
$$

## Why care about strength?

## Reason 2 - Universality

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d}$ be a homogeneous polynomial.
For $c_{11}, \ldots, c_{n m} \in \mathbb{C}$, the polynomial
$f\left(c_{11} y_{1}+\ldots+c_{1 m} y_{m}, \ldots, c_{n 1} y_{1}+\ldots+c_{n m} y_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{C}\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right]_{d}$ is a coordinate transformation of $f$.

## Example (Kazhdan-Ziegler)

For every $\ell \geq 0$, there exists a $k \geq 0$ such that all partial derivatives of $f$ have strength $\leq \ell \Rightarrow \operatorname{str}(f) \leq k$
This property satisfies the condition because of the chain rule.

## Why care about strength?

## Reason 3 - It is like the rank of matrices

We have a one-to-one correspondence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n} \mid A=A^{\top}\right\} & \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{2} \\
A & \mapsto\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) A\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\top} \\
\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)^{\top}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) & \mapsto\left(a_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+a_{n} x_{n}\right)^{2} \\
v w^{\top}+w v^{\top} & \mapsto 2 \cdot\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) v \cdot\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) w
\end{aligned}
$$

Write $f=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) A\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{\top}$. Then
$\operatorname{str}(f) \leq k \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad f$ is a sum of $k$ reducible polynomials
$\Leftrightarrow \quad A$ is a sum of $k$ matrices of rank $\leq 2$
$\Leftrightarrow \quad A$ has rank $\leq 2 k$
So $\operatorname{str}(f)=\lceil\operatorname{rk}(A) / 2\rceil$.

## Example

$\operatorname{str}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)=\left\lceil\mathrm{rk}\left(I_{3}\right) / 2\right\rceil=2$.

## Basic properties of strength

## How does strength compare to rank of matrices?

We can compute the rank of a matrix.
(determinants of submatrices / column- and rowoperations)
Q: How do you compute the strength of a polynomial?
The limit of a sequence of matrices of rank $\leq k$ has rank $\leq k$.
Q: Is the subset of polynomials of strength $\leq k$ closed?
An $n \times m$ matrix has maximal rank $\min (n, m)$.
Q: What is the maximal strength of a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d}$ ?
A random $n \times m$ matrix has rank $\min (n, m)$.
Q: What is the strength of a random polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d}$ ?

## Computing the strength of a polynomial

I don't know how to do this...
Exercise Find an algorithm.

## Tricks

(1) We have $\operatorname{str}(f+g) \leq \operatorname{str}(f)+\operatorname{str}(g)$.
(2) For $f \in \mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d}$, we define the singular locus:

$$
\operatorname{Sing}(f):=\left\{\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}}=\ldots=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}=0\right\}
$$

When $f=g_{1} \cdot h_{1}+\ldots+g_{k} \cdot h_{k}$, then

$$
\left\{g_{1}=h_{1}=\ldots=g_{k}=h_{k}=0\right\} \subseteq \operatorname{Sing}(f)
$$

and so $\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Sing}(f):=n-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sing}(f) \leq 2 k$.
(3) Every polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x, y]_{d}$ is reducible. Hence

$$
f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{d} \Rightarrow \operatorname{str}(f) \leq 1
$$

## Computing the strength of a polynomial

## Example

Consider $f=x_{1}^{d}+\ldots+x_{n}^{d}$.
We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f= \begin{cases}\left(x_{1}^{d}+x_{2}^{d}\right)+\ldots+\left(x_{2 k-1}^{2}+x_{2 k}^{d}\right) & \text { if } n=2 k \\
\left(x_{1}^{d}+x_{2}^{d}\right)+\ldots+\left(x_{2 k-1}^{2}+x_{2 k}^{d}\right)+x_{2 k+1}^{d} & \text { if } n=2 k+1\end{cases} \\
& \text { and so } \operatorname{str}(f) \leq\lceil n / 2\rceil .
\end{aligned}
$$

The singular locus

$$
\operatorname{Sing}(f)=\left\{d x_{1}^{d-1}=\ldots=d x_{n}^{d-1}=0\right\}=\{(0, \ldots, 0)\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}
$$

has codimenion $n$. So $\operatorname{str}(f) \geq\lceil n / 2\rceil$.
So $\operatorname{str}(f)=\lceil n / 2\rceil$.

## Strength $\leq 3$ is not closed

Q: Is the subset of polynomials of strength $\leq k$ closed?

- For $k=1$, this is the set of reducible polynomials.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{i}\right) \times \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d-i}\right) & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d}\right) \\
([g],[h]) & \mapsto
\end{aligned}
$$

has closed image.

- For $k=2$, I don't know. (Conjecture: yes)
- For $d=2$, this is the set of symmetric matrices of rank $\leq 2 k$.
- For $d=3$, this is true. (slice rank of polynomials)


## Theorem (Ballico-B-Oneto-Ventura)

The set of polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{4}$ of strength $\leq 3$ is not closed for $n \gg 0$.

## Strength $\leq 3$ is not closed

For $t \neq 0$ and $f, g, p, q$ of degree 2 and $x, y, u, v$ variables, the polynomial

$$
1 / t\left(\left(x^{2}+t g\right)\left(y^{2}+t f\right)-\left(u^{2}-t q\right)\left(v^{2}-t p\right)-(x y-u v)(x y+u v)\right)
$$

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q+t(f g-p q)
$$

has strength $\leq 3$. For $t \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q
$$

## Theorem (Ballico-B-Oneto-Ventura)

For $n \gg 0$, there are polynomials $f, g, p, q \in \mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]_{2}$ such that

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q \in \mathbb{C}\left[x, y, u, v, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]_{4}
$$

has strength 4.

## And now for something completely different

Consider the polynomial

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{4}
$$

where $x, y, u, v$ have degree 1 and $\underbrace{f, g, p, q}_{\text {variables }}$ have degree 2 .

## Definition

For $d \geq 2$, the strength of a polynomial $h \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{d}$ is the minimum number $r \geq 0$ (when this exists) such that

$$
h=g_{1} \cdot h_{1}+\ldots+g_{r} \cdot h_{r}
$$

with $g_{1}, h_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}, h_{r}$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $\leq d-1$.

## Example

When the $g_{i}, h_{i}$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $\leq 1$, then

$$
g_{1} \cdot h_{1}+\ldots+g_{r} \cdot h_{r} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]
$$

Hence the variable $f$ has infinite strength.

## And now for something completely different

## Proposition

The polynomial

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{4}
$$

has strength 4 .
$1 / 4$ of the proof
We need to show, for example, that

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q \neq \ell_{1} \cdot h_{1}+\ell_{2} \cdot h_{2}+\ell_{3} \cdot h_{3}
$$

for all $\ell_{i} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{1}$ and $h_{i} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{3}$.

## And now for something completely different

Proposition
The polynomial

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{4}
$$

has strength 4 .

## $1 / 4$ of the proof

We need to show, for example, that

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q \neq \ell_{1} \cdot h_{1}+\ell_{2} \cdot h_{2}+\ell_{3} \cdot h_{3}
$$

for all $\ell_{i} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]_{1}$ and $h_{i} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{3}$.
Think of $R=\mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]$ as the set of coefficients.
So $\ell_{i} \in R$ and $h_{i} \in R[f, g, p, q]$.
The coefficients of $f, g, p, q$ on the right are all in $\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}\right)$.
The coefficients $x^{2}, y^{2}, u^{2}, v^{2}$ on the left are not all $\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}\right)$.

## Strength $\leq 3$ is not closed

## Theorem (Ballico-B-Oneto-Ventura)

For $n \gg 0$, there are polynomials $f, g, p, q \in \mathbb{C}\left[z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]_{2}$ such that

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q \in \mathbb{C}\left[x, y, u, v, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right]_{4}
$$

has strength 4 .

## Proposition

The polynomial

$$
x^{2} f+y^{2} g+u^{2} p+v^{2} q \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v, f, g, p, q]_{4}
$$

has strength 4 .
Fact. The proposition implies the theorem.
The proof uses the geometry of polynomial functors.

## Generic and maximal strength

Q: What is the maximal strength of a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d}$ ?
Q: What is the strength of a random polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d}$ ?

## Definition

The slice rank of $f$ is the minimal $\operatorname{slrk}(f):=r \geq 0$ such that

$$
f=\ell_{1} \cdot h_{1}+\ldots+\ell_{r} \cdot h_{r}
$$

with $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{r}$ and $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{r}$ homogeneous of degrees 1 and $d-1$.
Proposition
(1) $\operatorname{str}(f) \leq \operatorname{slrk}(f) \leq n-1$
(2) $\operatorname{slrk}(f)=\min \left\{\operatorname{codim}(U)\left|U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{n}, f\right|_{U}=0\right\}$
(3) The subset of polynomials of slice rank $\leq k$ closed.

## Generic and maximal strength

## Theorem (Harris)

A generic homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ in $n+1$ variables has slice rank

$$
\min \left\{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq(n+1) / 2} \left\lvert\, r(n+1-r) \geq\binom{ d+n-r}{d}\right.\right\} .
$$

## Theorem (B-Oneto)

The strength and slice rank of a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ are generically equal for $d \leq 7$ and $d=9$.

## Theorem (Ballico-B-Oneto-Ventura)

The strength and slice rank of a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$ are generically equal for $d \geq 5$.

## Generic and maximal strength

We consider

$$
\left\{g_{1} \cdot h_{1}+\ldots+g_{r} \cdot h_{r} \mid \operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i}\right)=a_{i}, \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i}\right)=d-a_{i}\right\}
$$

inside $\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]_{d}$. We want to know the dimension.

## Terracini's Lemma

This dimension equals the dimension of $\left(g_{1}, h_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}, h_{r}\right)_{d}$ for generic generators.

## Proposition

This dimension is at most

$$
\binom{n+d}{d}-\operatorname{coeff}_{d}\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-t^{a_{i}}\right)\left(1-t^{d-a_{i}}\right)}{(1-t)^{n+1}}\right)+\binom{\ell_{d / 2}}{2}
$$

where $\ell_{d / 2}:=\#\left\{i \mid a_{i}=d / 2\right\}$. Equality when all $a_{i}$ equal to 1 .

## Generic and maximal strength

For fixed $d, r$, we want $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right):=$

$$
\operatorname{coeff}_{d}\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-t^{a_{i}}\right)\left(1-t^{d-a_{i}}\right)}{(1-t)^{n+1}}\right)-\binom{\ell_{d / 2}}{2}
$$

to be minimal when all $a_{i}$ equal to 1 .
Write $c_{\ell}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right):=\operatorname{coeff}_{\ell}\left(P_{k_{1}} \cdots P_{k_{n}}\right) \geq 0$ where $P_{k}=1+t+\ldots+t^{\bar{k}}$
for $k \in\{0,1,2, \ldots\} \cup\{\infty\}$.

## Proposition

We have

- $c_{\ell}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)=c_{\ell}\left(k_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, k_{\sigma(n)}\right)$ for all $\sigma \in S_{n}$
- $c_{\ell}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}, 0\right)=c_{\ell}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$
- $c_{\ell}\left(k, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \geq c_{\ell}\left(k^{\prime}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ for all $0 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k \leq \infty$
- $c_{\ell+1}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right) \geq c_{\ell}\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}\right)$ when $k_{1}=\infty$


## Generic and maximal strength

## Proposition

We have

$$
F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)-F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r-1}, a_{r}-1\right)>0
$$

when $a_{r}=\theta:=\max \left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right\}>2$.

## Proof.

Write $\ell_{j}=\#\left\{i \mid a_{i}=j\right\}$ and $m=n-\ell_{1}$. The difference equals

$$
c_{d-\theta+1}\left(\infty^{n-r}, d-2 \theta, a_{1}-1, \ldots, a_{r-1}-1\right)-\ell_{\theta-1}-\left(\ell_{\theta}-1\right) m
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{d-\theta+1}\left(\infty^{n-r}, d-2 \theta, a_{1}-1, \ldots, a_{r-1}-1\right) \\
\geq & c_{\theta+1}\left(\infty^{n-r}, 0^{\ell_{1}+1}, 1^{r-\ell_{1}-\ell_{\theta}},(\theta-1)^{\ell_{\theta}-1}\right) \\
\geq & c_{\theta+1}\left(\infty, 1^{m-\ell_{\theta}-1},(\theta-1)^{\ell_{\theta}-1}\right)=\operatorname{coeff}_{\theta+1}\left(P_{\infty} P_{1}^{m-\ell_{\theta}-1} P_{\theta-1}^{\ell_{\theta}-1}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{coeff}_{\theta+1}\left(P_{\infty}^{\ell_{\theta}} P_{1}^{m-\ell_{\theta}-1}\left(1-t^{\theta}\right)^{\ell_{\theta}-1}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{coeff}_{\theta+1}\left(P_{\infty}^{\ell_{\theta}} P_{1}^{m-\ell_{\theta}-1}\right)-\left(\ell_{\theta}-1\right)(m-1) \\
\geq & \operatorname{coeff}_{4}\left(P_{\infty}^{\ell_{\theta}} P_{1}^{m-\ell_{\theta}-1}\right)-\left(\ell_{\theta}-1\right)(m-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Strength of polynomials

Q: How do you compute the strength of a polynomial?
Q: Is there an algorithm that computes best low-strength approximations of a polynomial?

Q: What is the highest possible strength of a limit of strength $\leq k$ polynomials?

Thanks for your attention!
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