

Polynomials of bounded strength

Arthur Bik
University of Bern

29 November 2018, Veenendaal

joint work with Jan Draisma and Rob Eggermont

The strength of a polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1x_2x_5 + x_2x_3x_5 + x_3x_4x_5 + x_1x_5^2 + x_2x_5^2 + x_3x_5^2 - x_4x_5^2 + \\ & x_1x_2x_6 + x_2x_3x_6 + x_3x_4x_6 - x_1x_6^2 - x_2x_6^2 - x_3x_6^2 + x_4x_6^2 + \\ & x_1x_2x_7 + x_2x_3x_7 + x_3x_4x_7 - x_1x_7^2 - x_2x_7^2 - x_3x_7^2 + x_4x_7^2 + \\ & x_1x_2x_8 + x_2x_3x_8 + x_3x_4x_8 + x_1x_8^2 + x_2x_8^2 + x_3x_8^2 - x_4x_8^2 \end{aligned}$$

The strength of a polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1x_2x_5 + x_2x_3x_5 + x_3x_4x_5 + x_1x_5^2 + x_2x_5^2 + x_3x_5^2 - x_4x_5^2 + \\ & x_1x_2x_6 + x_2x_3x_6 + x_3x_4x_6 - x_1x_6^2 - x_2x_6^2 - x_3x_6^2 + x_4x_6^2 + \\ & x_1x_2x_7 + x_2x_3x_7 + x_3x_4x_7 - x_1x_7^2 - x_2x_7^2 - x_3x_7^2 + x_4x_7^2 + \\ & x_1x_2x_8 + x_2x_3x_8 + x_3x_4x_8 + x_1x_8^2 + x_2x_8^2 + x_3x_8^2 - x_4x_8^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4)(x_5^2 - x_6^2 - x_7^2 + x_8^2) + \\ & (x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4)(x_5 + x_6 + x_7 + x_8) \end{aligned}$$

The strength of a polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1x_2x_5 + x_2x_3x_5 + x_3x_4x_5 + x_1x_5^2 + x_2x_5^2 + x_3x_5^2 - x_4x_5^2 + \\ & x_1x_2x_6 + x_2x_3x_6 + x_3x_4x_6 - x_1x_6^2 - x_2x_6^2 - x_3x_6^2 + x_4x_6^2 + \\ & x_1x_2x_7 + x_2x_3x_7 + x_3x_4x_7 - x_1x_7^2 - x_2x_7^2 - x_3x_7^2 + x_4x_7^2 + \\ & x_1x_2x_8 + x_2x_3x_8 + x_3x_4x_8 + x_1x_8^2 + x_2x_8^2 + x_3x_8^2 - x_4x_8^2 \\ & = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - x_4)(x_5^2 - x_6^2 - x_7^2 + x_8^2) + \\ & (x_1x_2 + x_2x_3 + x_3x_4)(x_5 + x_6 + x_7 + x_8) \end{aligned}$$

The strength of a polynomial

Definition

The strength of a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree $d \geq 2$ is the minimal $k \geq 0$ such that we can write

$$f = s_1 r_1 + \cdots + s_k r_k$$

with $s_1, \dots, s_k, r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $< d$.

The strength of a polynomial

Definition

The strength of a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree $d \geq 2$ is the minimal $k \geq 0$ such that we can write

$$f = s_1 r_1 + \dots + s_k r_k$$

with $s_1, \dots, s_k, r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $< d$.

Examples

- Reducible polynomials have strength ≤ 1 .
- The polynomial $y^2 z - (x^3 + xz^2 + z^3)$ has strength 2.
- The polynomial $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ has strength $\lceil n/2 \rceil$.
- Every polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(d)}$ has strength $\leq n$.

The strength of a polynomial

Proposition

For every symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the polynomial

$$f = (x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)A(x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)^T$$

has strength $\lceil \text{rk}(A)/2 \rceil$.

The strength of a polynomial

Proposition

For every symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the polynomial

$$f = (x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)A(x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)^T$$

has strength $\lceil \text{rk}(A)/2 \rceil$.

Remark

$f(x) = s_1(x)r_1(x) + \dots + s_k(x)r_k(x)$ and y_1, \dots, y_n are linear forms
 $\Rightarrow f(y) = s_1(y)r_1(y) + \dots + s_k(y)r_k(y)$ has strength $\leq k$

The strength of a polynomial

Proposition

For every symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the polynomial

$$f = (x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)A(x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)^T$$

has strength $\lceil \text{rk}(A)/2 \rceil$.

Remark

$f(x) = s_1(x)r_1(x) + \dots + s_k(x)r_k(x)$ and y_1, \dots, y_n are linear forms
 $\Rightarrow f(y) = s_1(y)r_1(y) + \dots + s_k(y)r_k(y)$ has strength $\leq k$

Proof.

Change coordinates so that $f = x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2$ with $r = \text{rk}(A)$.

The strength of a polynomial

Proposition

For every symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the polynomial

$$f = (x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)A(x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)^T$$

has strength $\lceil \text{rk}(A)/2 \rceil$.

Remark

$f(x) = s_1(x)r_1(x) + \dots + s_k(x)r_k(x)$ and y_1, \dots, y_n are linear forms
 $\Rightarrow f(y) = s_1(y)r_1(y) + \dots + s_k(y)r_k(y)$ has strength $\leq k$

Proof.

Change coordinates so that $f = x_1^2 + \dots + x_r^2$ with $r = \text{rk}(A)$.

(\leq) Use: $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = (x_1 + ix_2)(x_1 - ix_2)$

(\geq) Use: $2s_1r_1 = (x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)(vw^T + wv^T)(x_1 \ \dots \ x_n)^T$



The strength of a polynomial

Definition

The strength of a homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree $d \geq 2$ is the minimal $k \geq 0$ such that we can write

$$f = s_1 r_1 + \dots + s_k r_k$$

with $s_1, \dots, s_k, r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ homogeneous polynomials of degree $< d$.

Examples

- Reducible polynomials have strength ≤ 1 .
- The polynomial $y^2 z - (x^3 + xz^2 + z^3)$ has strength 2.
- The polynomial $x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2$ has strength $\lceil n/2 \rceil$.
- Every polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(d)}$ has strength $\leq n$.

The Main Theorem

Theorem (B, Draisma, Eggermont)

Let X be a closed subfunctor of the functor $S^d: \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Top}$. Suppose that $X(U) \neq S^d U$ for some $U \in \text{Vec}$. Then there is a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the strength of any polynomial $f \in X(V)$ is at most k .

Remark

The constant k depends only on d and $\dim U$ (and not on V).

The Main Theorem

Theorem (B, Draisma, Eggermont)

Let X be a closed subfunctor of the functor $S^d: \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Top}$. Suppose that $X(U) \neq S^d U$ for some $U \in \text{Vec}$. Then there is a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the strength of any polynomial $f \in X(V)$ is at most k .

Remark

The constant k depends only on d and $\dim U$ (and not on V).

Definition

A closed subfunctor X of S^d assigns to every finite-dimensional vector space V a Zariski-closed subset $X(V)$ of $S^d V$ such that

$$S^d \varphi(X(V)) \subseteq X(W)$$

for all linear maps $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$.

Closed subfunctors of S^d

Definition

A closed subfunctor X of S^d assigns to every finite-dimensional vector space V a Zariski-closed subset $X(V)$ of $S^d V$ such that

$$S^d \varphi(X(V)) \subseteq X(W)$$

for all linear maps $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$.

Example ($d = 2$, $S^2 \mathbb{C}^n \cong \{\text{symmetric } A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\}$)

Take $X(\mathbb{C}^n) = \{\text{symmetric } n \times n \text{ matrices of rank } \leq r\}$. Then

- $X(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is the zero set of some subdeterminants
- for any $P \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ and any $A \in X(\mathbb{C}^n)$, we have $P^T A P \in X(\mathbb{C}^m)$
- $X(\mathbb{C}^{r+1}) \neq \{\text{symmetric } (r+1) \times (r+1) \text{ matrices}\}$

The Main Theorem

u^b

b
UNIVERSITÄT
BERN

Theorem (B, Draisma, Eggermont)

Let X be a closed subfunctor of the functor $S^d: \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Top}$. Suppose that $X(U) \neq S^d U$ for some $U \in \text{Vec}$. Then there is a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the strength of any polynomial $f \in X(V)$ is at most k .

Remark

The constant k depends only on d and $\dim U$ (and not on V).

The Main Theorem

Theorem (B, Draisma, Eggermont)

Let X be a closed subfunctor of the functor $S^d: \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Top}$. Suppose that $X(U) \neq S^d U$ for some $U \in \text{Vec}$. Then there is a constant $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the strength of any polynomial $f \in X(V)$ is at most k .

Remark

The constant k depends only on d and $\dim U$ (and not on V).

Example

Take $d = 2$ and $X(V) = \{v \cdot v \mid v \in V\}$ for all $V \in \text{Vec}$.
 $\Rightarrow X(\mathbb{C}^2) = \{ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \mid b^2 - 4ac = 0\} \subsetneq S^2 \mathbb{C}^2$

The proof

u^b

b
**UNIVERSITÄT
BERN**

Write $X_n = X(\mathbb{C}^n)$

$\{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2\}$

The proof

Write $X_n = X(\mathbb{C}^n)$

Fix m such that $X_m \neq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]_{(d)}$

$\{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2\}$

$m = 2$

The proof

u^b

b
UNIVERSITÄT
BERN

Write $X_n = X(\mathbb{C}^n)$

Fix m such that $X_m \neq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]_{(d)}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists P \neq 0$ so that $P(f) = 0$ for all $f \in X_m$

$\{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2\}$

$m = 2$

$P = b^2 - 4ac$

The proof

u^b

b
UNIVERSITÄT
BERN

Write $X_n = X(\mathbb{C}^n)$

Fix m such that $X_m \neq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]_{(d)}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists P \neq 0$ so that $P(f) = 0$ for all $f \in X_m$

We do induction on the degree of the equation P

$$\{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2\}$$

$$m = 2$$

$$P = b^2 - 4ac$$

The proof

Write $X_n = X(\mathbb{C}^n)$

Fix m such that $X_m \neq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]_{(d)}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists P \neq 0$ so that $P(f) = 0$ for all $f \in X_m$

We do induction on the degree of the equation P

$P \neq \text{const} \Rightarrow P$ has a partial derivative $Q \neq 0$

$$\{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2\}$$

$$m = 2$$

$$P = b^2 - 4ac$$

$$Q = -4a$$

The proof

Write $X_n = X(\mathbb{C}^n)$

$$\{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2\}$$

Fix m such that $X_m \neq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]_{(d)}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists P \neq 0$ so that $P(f) = 0$ for all $f \in X_m$

$$m = 2$$

$$P = b^2 - 4ac$$

We do induction on the degree of the equation P

$P \neq \text{const} \Rightarrow P$ has a partial derivative $Q \neq 0$

$$Q = -4a$$

Take $Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \text{ holds } Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$

The proof

Write $X_n = X(\mathbb{C}^n)$

$$\{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2\}$$

Fix m such that $X_m \neq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]_{(d)}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists P \neq 0$ so that $P(f) = 0$ for all $f \in X_m$

$$m = 2$$

$$P = b^2 - 4ac$$

We do induction on the degree of the equation P

$P \neq \text{const} \Rightarrow P$ has a partial derivative $Q \neq 0$

$$Q = -4a$$

Take $Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \text{ holds } Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$

$$Y_n = \{0\}$$

The proof

Write $X_n = X(\mathbb{C}^n)$

$$\{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2\}$$

Fix m such that $X_m \neq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]_{(d)}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists P \neq 0$ so that $P(f) = 0$ for all $f \in X_m$

$$m = 2$$

$$P = b^2 - 4ac$$

We do induction on the degree of the equation P

$P \neq \text{const} \Rightarrow P$ has a partial derivative $Q \neq 0$

$$Q = -4a$$

Take $Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \text{ holds } Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$

$$Y_n = \{0\}$$

$\deg(Q) < \deg(P) \Rightarrow$ done for polynomials $f \in Y_n$

The proof

Write $X_n = X(\mathbb{C}^n)$

$$\{\ell(x_1, \dots, x_n)^2\}$$

Fix m such that $X_m \neq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_m]_{(d)}$
 $\Rightarrow \exists P \neq 0$ so that $P(f) = 0$ for all $f \in X_m$

$$m = 2$$

$$P = b^2 - 4ac$$

We do induction on the degree of the equation P

$P \neq \text{const} \Rightarrow P$ has a partial derivative $Q \neq 0$

$$Q = -4a$$

Take $Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \text{ holds } Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$

$$Y_n = \{0\}$$

$\deg(Q) < \deg(P) \Rightarrow$ done for polynomials $f \in Y_n$

Consider polynomials in $X_n \setminus Y_n$

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

u^b

b
**UNIVERSITÄT
BERN**

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

If $f \in X_n$ and $n \leq m$, then $f = x_1 r_1 + \cdots + x_n r_n$ has strength $\leq m$.

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

If $f \in X_n$ and $n \leq m$, then $f = x_1 r_1 + \dots + x_n r_n$ has strength $\leq m$.

Take $n = m + k$ and $y_i = x_{m+i}$. Then

$$f = g(y_1, \dots, y_k) + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \neq 0} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} h_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

has strength at most m plus the strength of g .

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

If $f \in X_n$ and $n \leq m$, then $f = x_1 r_1 + \dots + x_n r_n$ has strength $\leq m$.

Take $n = m + k$ and $y_i = x_{m+i}$. Then

$$f = g(y_1, \dots, y_k) + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \neq 0} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} h_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

has strength at most m plus the strength of g .

$$f \notin Y_n \Rightarrow Q(f \circ L) \neq 0 \text{ for some } L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

If $f \in X_n$ and $n \leq m$, then $f = x_1 r_1 + \dots + x_n r_n$ has strength $\leq m$.

Take $n = m + k$ and $y_i = x_{m+i}$. Then

$$f = g(y_1, \dots, y_k) + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \neq 0} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} h_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

has strength at most m plus the strength of g .

$$f \notin Y_n \Rightarrow Q(f \circ L) \neq 0 \text{ for some } L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$f \in X_n \Rightarrow P(f \circ L') = 0 \text{ for all } L': \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

If $f \in X_n$ and $n \leq m$, then $f = x_1 r_1 + \dots + x_n r_n$ has strength $\leq m$.

Take $n = m + k$ and $y_i = x_{m+i}$. Then

$$f = g(y_1, \dots, y_k) + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \neq 0} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} h_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

has strength at most m plus the strength of g .

$$f \notin Y_n \Rightarrow Q(f \circ L) \neq 0 \text{ for some } L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$f \in X_n \Rightarrow P(f \circ L') = 0 \text{ for all } L': \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$\rightsquigarrow g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ is a polynomial in the h_{i_1, \dots, i_m}

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

$$f = ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 + x_1\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) + x_2\ell_2(y_1, \dots, y_k) + g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

$$f = ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 + x_1\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) + x_2\ell_2(y_1, \dots, y_k) + g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

$f \notin Y_n = \{0\} \Rightarrow$ after coordinate change we have $a \neq 0$

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

$$f = ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 + x_1\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) + x_2\ell_2(y_1, \dots, y_k) + g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

$f \notin Y_n = \{0\} \Rightarrow$ after coordinate change we have $a \neq 0$

$$f \in X_n \Rightarrow f = a \left(x_1 + \frac{b}{2a}x_2 + \frac{1}{2a}\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) \right)^2$$

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

$$f = ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 + x_1\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) + x_2\ell_2(y_1, \dots, y_k) + g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

$f \notin Y_n = \{0\} \Rightarrow$ after coordinate change we have $a \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} f \in X_n &\Rightarrow f = a \left(x_1 + \frac{b}{2a}x_2 + \frac{1}{2a}\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) \right)^2 \\ &\Rightarrow g = \frac{1}{4a}\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

$$f = ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 + x_1\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) + x_2\ell_2(y_1, \dots, y_k) + g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

$f \notin Y_n = \{0\} \Rightarrow$ after coordinate change we have $a \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} f \in X_n &\Rightarrow f = a \left(x_1 + \frac{b}{2a}x_2 + \frac{1}{2a}\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) \right)^2 \\ &\Rightarrow g = \frac{1}{4a}\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k)^2 \end{aligned}$$

So $g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ is a polynomial in $\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ and $\ell_2(y_1, \dots, y_k)$

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

$$f = ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2 + x_1\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) + x_2\ell_2(y_1, \dots, y_k) + g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

$f \notin Y_n = \{0\} \Rightarrow$ after coordinate change we have $a \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} f \in X_n &\Rightarrow f = a \left(x_1 + \frac{b}{2a}x_2 + \frac{1}{2a}\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k) \right)^2 \\ &\Rightarrow g = \frac{1}{4a}\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k)^2 \end{aligned}$$

So $g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ is a polynomial in $\ell_1(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ and $\ell_2(y_1, \dots, y_k)$

\Rightarrow the strength of g is at most 2

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

If $f \in X_n$ and $n \leq m$, then $f = x_1 r_1 + \dots + x_n r_n$ has strength $\leq m$.

Take $n = m + k$ and $y_i = x_{m+i}$. Then

$$f = g(y_1, \dots, y_k) + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \neq 0} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} h_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

has strength at most m plus the strength of g .

$$f \notin Y_n \Rightarrow Q(f \circ L) \neq 0 \text{ for some } L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$f \in X_n \Rightarrow P(f \circ L') = 0 \text{ for all } L': \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$\rightsquigarrow g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ is a polynomial in the h_{i_1, \dots, i_m}

$$Y_n = \{f \in X_n \mid \forall L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n : Q(f \circ L) = 0\}$$

If $f \in X_n$ and $n \leq m$, then $f = x_1 r_1 + \dots + x_n r_n$ has strength $\leq m$.

Take $n = m + k$ and $y_i = x_{m+i}$. Then

$$f = g(y_1, \dots, y_k) + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \neq 0} x_1^{i_1} \dots x_m^{i_m} h_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(y_1, \dots, y_k)$$

has strength at most m plus the strength of g .

$$f \notin Y_n \Rightarrow Q(f \circ L) \neq 0 \text{ for some } L: \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$$f \in X_n \Rightarrow P(f \circ L') = 0 \text{ for all } L': \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

$\rightsquigarrow g(y_1, \dots, y_k)$ is a polynomial in the h_{i_1, \dots, i_m}



Thank you for your attention!

Questions





- Is the set $\{f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(d)} \mid \text{strength}(f) \leq k\}$ Zariski-closed?
- What is the strength of a generic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(d)}$?
- How do you calculate the strength of a polynomial?

For $d = 2$:

- Yes
- $\lceil n/2 \rceil$
- Compute the rank of the corresponding matrix

For $d = 3$:

- Yes
- $\min \left\{ \ell \geq \frac{n}{2} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \binom{d-\ell+n-1}{d} \leq \ell(n-\ell) \right\}$
- Find biggest subspace $U \subseteq V$ with $f(U) = 0$

-  Bik, Draisma, Eggermont, *Polynomials and tensors of bounded strength*, preprint.
-  Catalisano, Geramita, Gimigliano, Harbourne, Migliore, Nagel, Shin, *Secant varieties of the varieties of reducible hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n* , preprint.
-  Derksen, Eggermont, Snowden, *Topological noetherianity for cubic polynomials*, Alg. Number Th. 11 (2017) 2197-2212.
-  Kazhdan, Ziegler, *On ranks of polynomials*, preprint.